

Limited Preview — Evidence Portal Access opened for Department of Justice, Orange County Superior Court, USPS Inspectors, FBI, Huntington Beach Police, Department of Real Estate Investigators and others on the case for the public not me.

From: michael gasio (gasio77@yahoo.com)

To: attorneyrosiak@gmail.com; clerk@stevensilverstein.com

Cc: srandell@hbpd.org; hnguyen2@fbi.gov

Bcc: aelkins@gmail.com; helderppinheiro@gmail.com

Date: Wednesday, August 20, 2025 at 02:59 PM PDT

Subject: Limited Preview — Evidence Portal Access

gasiomirror.com/police.html

Limited time offer.

OC Legal Portal

AI + i

Master Index

Contact

CASE CHAPTERS (C1–C8)

C1: Major Violations

C2: Timeline of Offenses

C3: Agency Fraud

C4: Harm to Health / Credit

C5: Court & Pattern Failures

C6: Damages & Remedies

C7: Comprehensive Charges & Exposure

C8: Charge Matrix by Actor

CRIMINAL CHARGES (PAGINATED — 25 PER PAGE)

Charges 1–25

Charges 26–50

Charges 51–75

Charges 76–100

Charges 101–125

Charges 126–150

Charges 151–175

Charges 176–200

Charges 200–225

Charges 200 -225

AI Draft — New Charges Drop • 08/25/2025

The Motive:

Let's be honest — this was about money.

The owner needed fast cash and wanted to hike the rent nearly 54%. He found a workaround: evict the tenant, conceal the payment trail, and flip the property into a cash-producing Airbnb rental.

This was **not a personal move-in**, a hardship sale, or anything lawful. It was a click— **fraudulent conversion of the property** —click from residential lease to illegal hotel operation.

Charge Matrix by Statute • All Actors

PDF CHAPTER LIBRARY

Chapter 1: Opening Statement

Chapter 2: Payment Proof / Contract Fraud

Chapter 3: UD Notice Failures

Chapter 4: Police Inaction / Civil Rights

Chapter 5: RICO Architecture

Chapter 6: Constructive Fraud / Habitability

Chapter 7: Medical & Psychiatric Fallout

Chapter 8: Financial Loss / Credit Harm

Chapter 9: Evidence Table

Chapter 10: Restitution & Penalties

Chapter 11: Legal Theory Index

Chapter 12: Submission Briefs

Chapter 13: Timeline Appendix

OC Legal Portal — Home (AI+I • Counsel Edition)

Richard Rosiak, Esq.
Stephen D. Silverstein, Attorney-at-Law,

Gentlemen,

For a short window of time I have opened the evidence portal that has already been provided to the Superior Court, the FBI, the Department of Justice, the Huntington Beach Police Department, and other agencies.

Inside the portal, you will find:

- Every letter submitted by me since 5/2022,
- Indexed emails to each of you,
- Photographs before/after, no carpets on floor. Who knew? Me.
- Text exchanges, "do not pay owner pay me" "Hanson got the check" in May 2024 only check ever why?
- Supporting documentation.
- Videos
- Phone logs to Silverstein and Rosiak
- Contracts
- Ordered by Clint best defence is "Keep in touch with wife or Helder"
- Why tell us to stop communicating?
- we want to keep in touch emailed you a week before trial you never got back, then a letter I did nothing fuck you.

I want you to click every link, review every exhibit, and look closely at the record. If there is *anything false on its face*, identify it. That is your opportunity to raise it now, because I will treat silence as confirmation of accuracy.

Understand: I have deliberately held back a few items — certain texts, a few emails — because, as before, I prefer to lead with a question and then present the paperwork. Printing five binders became too expensive; this is now streamlined online. The preview will be closed shortly. Four law firms are already reviewing it — you may as well take advantage of this temporary access.

As I said, if something is false on its face, tell me. Otherwise, the record stands.

Regards,
Michael Gasio

1. Relevance

- Every piece of evidence introduced must be relevant to the case, meaning it has a tendency to make a fact more or less probable than it would be without the evidence.
- The evidence should directly relate to the charges and the elements the prosecution must prove beyond a reasonable doubt.

2. Admissibility

- Even if relevant, evidence must also be admissible under the rules of evidence.
- Admissibility rules aim to ensure the reliability and trustworthiness of the evidence. For example, hearsay evidence (out-of-court statements offered to prove the truth of the matter asserted) is generally inadmissible, with some exceptions.
- Other considerations like privilege (e.g., attorney-client privilege) or evidence that is unfairly prejudicial can also lead to exclusion.

3. Avoiding undue prejudice and surprise

- The court has a duty to exclude evidence that, even if relevant, would be unfairly prejudicial, confuse the issues, mislead the jury, or be a waste of time.
- The principle of "trial by ambush" is generally frowned upon. The defense should have adequate notice of the evidence the prosecution intends to present, according to the American Bar Association.

4. Discovery obligations

- Prosecutors have a continuing obligation to provide the defense with relevant discovery material, including evidence they intend to use at trial, and any exculpatory evidence (evidence favorable to the defense).

- Failing to do so can lead to sanctions, mistrials, or even dismissal of charges, [notes Reddit users](#).

In essence, while there's no numerical cap on the evidence, the prosecution is expected to introduce only the evidence necessary to prove their case beyond a reasonable doubt, while respecting the rules of evidence and the defendant's right to a fair trial. The judge plays a crucial role in regulating the introduction of evidence and ensuring a balance between presenting the full picture and protecting the integrity of the proceedings.

AI responses may include mistakes. For legal advice, consult a professional. Or just ask each other [Learn more](#)

The Ranger



